



Theory of Life and the Quantum Clock

AUTHOR: Mohamed Ibrahim El-Saeed Issa (Independent Researcher, Egypt)

OVERVIEW: This paper proposes a unified physical model in which consciousness, life, and cosmic structure emerge from the dynamic interaction between two opposing spacetime fabrics. Using the Time-Energy Equation $E_T = \sqrt{2M} \times (\Delta x)^2/t^2$, the model frames human consciousness as a quantised process governed by belief, environment, focus, and will. It further draws a direct analogy between thought formation in the human brain and the origin of the universe.

KEYWORDS: Quantum Clock; Spacetime Fabric; Cosmic Consciousness; Time-Energy Equation; Micro-consciousness; False Memory; Déjà vu

ABSTRACT:

This research is a more detailed extension of previous work titled “How the Universe Speaks Through Consciousness.” It proposes that consciousness in living systems does not arise as a mysterious phenomenon separate from physical reality, but rather emerges as a result of the dynamic interaction between two opposing processes in time. Consciousness is posited to be composed of the difference between two opposing spacetime fabrics (Δx), as described by the Time-Energy Equation: $E_T = \sqrt{2M} \times (\Delta x)^2/t^2$.

The research posits the existence of two opposing spacetime fabrics within a living organism: the normal spacetime fabric, which moves from entropy to order (representing the soul), concentrated in organs such as the heart, brain, and nerves; and the inverted spacetime fabric, which moves from order to entropy (representing the body). Consciousness is defined as the difference between these two spacetime fabrics (Δx), representing the edges of a quantum clock. An increase in this difference corresponds to a higher level of consciousness.

The model demonstrates that the sensation of consciousness is a quantised process, that beliefs exert the strongest influence on the evaluation of ideas, and that consciousness corresponds to the movement of the macro clock's hand, which evaluates an idea based on a

belief until it reaches the threshold that makes conscious awareness possible. This framework also explains why a decision can precede its conscious awareness. Ideas begin as probabilistic waves, then transform into information and meaning through observation and focus. Beliefs function as the observer, while will represents the mechanism of focus, analogous to the wave-particle transformation in quantum mechanics.

The law of Time-Energy demonstrates that a living organism is characterised by its capacity to interact with time through continuous cellular regeneration. At death, the difference between the two fabrics widens to its maximum extent, allowing consciousness to transition from the human state to broader levels, ultimately reaching cosmic consciousness.

INTRODUCTION

The mathematical derivation of the Time-Energy Equation, which forms the basis for this and related research, including modifications to relativity, the investigation of how the universe communicates through consciousness, the theory of everything, and the investigation into how the universe renews itself, began with this derivation because the research cannot proceed without it.

To provide a solid physical foundation for this theory, the Time-Energy Equation is derived based on the dynamic interaction between the two spacetime fabrics, relying on the relativistic relationship between energy and momentum.

Let X represent the normal spacetime fabric, which transitions from a state of disorder to a state of order, and X' represent the inverted spacetime fabric, which transitions from a state of order to a state of disorder. The relativistic displacement of spacetime, defined as the difference between the two fabrics, is expressed as: $\Delta x = X' - X$.

Let t be the time interval required for normal spacetime to transition to inverted spacetime. The effective spacetime displacement rate, or travel velocity, is then: $V_{_ZT} = \Delta x / t$.

In special relativity, the total energy of a system is described by the energy-momentum relationship: $E^2 = (p \times c)^2 + (M \times c^2)^2$. Within the dual spacetime framework, as justified in prior work on the modification of relativity, this relationship is generalised by replacing the constant speed of light (c) with the dynamic spacetime travel velocity ($c_{_eff} = V_{_ZT}$). The resulting momentum is $p = M \times V_{_ZT}$.

Substituting c_{eff} and p into the energy-momentum equation yields: $E^2 = (M \times V_{\text{ZT}})^2 + (M \times V_{\text{ZT}})^2 = 2 \times M^2 \times (\Delta x)^4 / t^4$. Taking the square root of both sides gives the final Time-Energy Equation: $E_{\text{T}} = \sqrt{2} \times M \times (\Delta x)^2 / t^2$.

Here, E_{T} represents the energy and time resulting from the transition between the two spacetime fabrics. M is the mass, and Δx is the quantum measure of the difference between the inverted and normal spacetime fabrics. The factor $\sqrt{2}$ arises naturally from the directional sum of the system's rest-mass energy and the dynamic energy of the spacetime transition. This demonstrates that increasing the difference between the two fabrics (Δx), or shortening the transition time (t), directly amplifies the time energy, thus fuelling the quantum clock of consciousness.

The consciousness familiar in everyday experience is not something mysterious or separate from physical reality. Rather, it is a stage of evaluating ideas through beliefs, operating like the hands of a quantum clock. All of this is subject to the difference between the two spacetime fabrics (Δx). Beliefs are the primary driver of every idea and every decision because they evaluate each idea before it transforms into action. From this stage of evaluating ideas, consciousness itself is created.

Consciousness represents the long hand of the large clock, while beliefs represent the shorter hand of the central clock. At the centre of the central clock lie the environment and circumstances. Consciousness moves and becomes more apparent, but beliefs are the most influential because they determine the direction of its movement. Even more influential than beliefs are the environment and circumstances, because they determine the path of the belief hand. The quantum clock therefore consists of two overlapping clocks: the Central Clock and the Great Clock.

The Central Clock contains the belief hand, which influences the Great Clock responsible for consciousness. It connects the past with the future, and at its centre lie the circumstances and environment. The environment and circumstances do not directly create consciousness, but they shape and influence beliefs. In childhood, a person relies only on the environment and circumstances to form beliefs. Once beliefs are formed and established, they determine the direction of the central clock's movement. As the brain matures and waves of possibilities emerge as thoughts, the evaluation of thoughts through beliefs gives rise to consciousness, and the large clock hand appears to evaluate and build upon those thoughts.

The Great Clock is a more comprehensive clock that also connects the past to the future and influences the movement of the central clock's hands, though at a slower rate. Through it, thoughts and decisions are evaluated, and beliefs can be changed through the rapid rotation of the large clock hand, which in turn affects the small clock hand. Every positive thought about the future can affect past memories unrelated to the event, reshaping them in a positive way. This phenomenon can be described as 'false memory': memory is not fixed, but is reconstructed according to the evaluation of thoughts and future expectations.

Thoughts are originally probabilistic waves. When observed or focused upon, they transform into a real state; they are encoded, they acquire existence and meaning, and they can become reality, just as a wave transforms into a particle in quantum physics.

RESULTS

Human Thought and the Origin of the Universe

Complex human thought is analogous to what occurred at the beginning of the universe. At the moment of the universe's creation, a vast difference between two spacetime fabrics led to the formation of wormholes that worked to reduce this difference. These wormholes converged at a single point, creating eleven dimensions, and the Big Bang gave rise to existence. The remnants of these wormholes transformed into photons, and the normal spacetime fabric expanded to a ratio that reduced dimensions to four.

On a microscopic human level, a difference between two spacetime fabrics exists within each person: the body, representing the inverted spacetime fabric that moves from order to entropy, and the soul, representing the normal spacetime fabric that moves from entropy to order. When a complex idea arises, the resulting wave represents a number of quantum wormholes. These wormholes crowd at a specific point within the brain with eleven dimensions. Upon exploding, they form a code — symbolised, for example, by a protein — from which memories, beliefs, and new meaning are formed. In this sense, thinking is a miniature Big Bang.

Life, Time, and the Distinction Between Living and Non-Living Systems

The real difference between living and non-living things lies in time and the way they interact with it. In the Time-Energy Equation, t represents the duration of the transition from normal spacetime to inverted spacetime. In a living organism, the normal external cosmic spacetime is

slowed through regeneration and entropy occurring in all living cells, allowing life to exist. In a non-living object, spacetime passes normally without slowing, and no life arises.

Life is based on two fundamental principles: the capacity to interact with time, and the capacity for self-renewal and total regeneration. Human cells in childhood absorb the largest amount of normal cosmic spacetime frequencies. As the organism ages, the cells' ability to absorb these frequencies decreases due to increasing entropy, widening the difference between the two spacetime fabrics until death occurs.

Artificial intelligence can possess a limited form of consciousness because it is constantly updated with vast amounts of information and is intertwined with enormous possibilities and entanglements. This generates the entropy necessary to reduce the normal external cosmic spacetime fabric, allowing a limited form of consciousness to develop, though not to the same extent as in human systems.

Human consciousness is far more advanced than that of other living beings. This is because the species from which humans descended was the most exposed to intense and fluctuating fears. Survival required coping with these conditions, leading to the development of the stage of evaluating beliefs. This inherent volatility enabled the development of consciousness and gave rise to human emotions in their current form.

The Role of Environment, Beliefs, Will, and Destiny in Shaping Consciousness

Consciousness does not operate independently. It moves through an internal system — the quantum clock — in which the large hand represents consciousness and the small hand represents beliefs. Sometimes the two hands move at the same speed, but more often they do not. This difference creates the action or decision, and explains why, in many experimental studies, decisions are made before the feeling of conscious awareness.

Will arises from beliefs. Every idea that arises with the aim of achieving perfection constitutes will, and it includes beliefs of imperfection alongside others. To someone with sufficient knowledge of another person's beliefs, environment, circumstances, and bodily functioning, the thoughts arising in that person's mind could be predicted, as if that person's life were almost entirely predetermined. Circumstances can, therefore, create what seems impossible.

Focus is the act of choosing the shortest and most efficient path to evaluate ideas. It operates on principles analogous to quantum mechanics, much as light entering a chloroplast does.

Through focus, a person can modify false or erroneous beliefs, and consequently correct

distorted perceptions of the environment. A person is therefore neither absolutely free nor completely constrained; they are an entity whose consciousness oscillates between beliefs shaped by circumstances and the possibility of modifying those beliefs through focus.

False Memory, False Expectation, and the Mandela Effect

If a future idea is positive, it does not only affect the present; it extends to past memories, changing details such as colours, feelings, and general impressions in other memories unrelated to the event. This is known as false memory. Conversely, a negative past memory does not only affect the present but extends to future expectations, changing performance and creating false expectations.

These memories can be universal in scope, as in the Mandela effect. This results from a convergence of consciousness among people exposed to a universal stimulus, leading to a modification of the probabilities and beliefs of many people — without their conscious awareness — to varying degrees.

False memories and false expectations are not illusions or errors in the brain, but rather a re-enactment of the past in consciousness, brought into alignment with current or future thoughts. The mind tends to align thoughts according to the principles of quantum mechanics, because consciousness seeks to reach its highest goals without wasting time. In this way, old memories become aligned with future expectations. Despite the complexity of this process, beliefs remain the fundamental force guiding the movement of consciousness, functioning like an observer in quantum mechanics.

The Similarity Between Human Consciousness and Cosmic Consciousness

What happens within human consciousness is not an isolated phenomenon, but a reflection of what happens in the greater cosmic consciousness. The origin of the universe is remarkably similar to the origin of an idea within the human mind. In both cases, it begins with a significant difference between two spacetime fabrics, which allows for the formation of the idea in the human mind or the emergence of a new universe.

Old universes are analogous to the fixed beliefs within a person: they evaluate new universes, just as beliefs evaluate new ideas. This process of evaluation creates what can be called cosmic consciousness, just as human consciousness arises from the evaluation of new ideas through beliefs. This leads to the conclusion that there must be an eternal element in the

universe — analogous in function to the environment and circumstances in human development — controlling the past universes, which in turn guide the present ones.

The difference between human consciousness and cosmic consciousness is not one of nature, but of scale: the human being is a small consciousness, and the universe is a vast consciousness. Both influence each other: any cosmic change or expansion, even a slight one, affects cosmic renewal and is ultimately reflected in human consciousness. This mutual influence can provide an additional explanation for the phenomenon of false memory.

The universe possesses life in the sense discussed here: it constantly renews itself through the conflict between the two spacetime fabrics, as evidenced by the existence of black holes that absorb the normal cosmic spacetime fabric. Life is therefore not merely movement or physical existence, but a dynamic process dependent on time, renewal, and the interaction between opposing spacetime fabrics.

Déjà Vu

Déjà vu is not a mysterious phenomenon, nor a memory lapse. According to this model, when déjà vu occurs, the difference between the two spacetime fabrics has temporarily decreased — the normal spacetime fabric has increased while the inverted spacetime fabric has decreased. This allows for a temporary connection between consciousness, which represents the difference between the two fabrics, and the soul, which represents the normal spacetime fabric moving from the future to the past.

This is why a person feels they have experienced a situation before, even though it is occurring for the first time on a physical level. Consciousness encountered it in conjunction with the soul — which travels from the future to the past — before it manifested in the present. When the situation occurs in the present, the probability wave coincides with the event, and the person feels they have seen it before. In reality, consciousness began with the result before the cause, consistent with properties of quantum mechanics.

Déjà vu most often occurs in individuals who have a high absorption of the ordinary cosmic spacetime fabric, particularly in adolescents and young adults. This is because the difference between the two spacetime fabrics is less pronounced in these individuals, making them more susceptible to the temporary connection described above. This is a strong, testable prediction of the model.

DISCUSSION

This research integrates consciousness, life, and the universe into a single, comprehensive model. Human consciousness is composed of the difference between two spacetime fabrics and is governed by beliefs, environment, focus, will, and false memories. These memories reveal the influence of the future on the past, confirming the dynamic relationship between time and consciousness.

Life is the organism's capacity to interact with time, leading to self-renewal and total regeneration. It is the result of the interaction between the soul (normal spacetime fabric) and the body (inverted spacetime fabric). However, this result depends on a specific threshold value of the difference between the two spacetime fabrics. If the soul and body exist but do not reach this value, life will not arise.

The similarity between human consciousness and cosmic consciousness demonstrates that the universe possesses consciousness, and that every thought or event within a person reflects cosmic processes on a microscopic scale. The Time-Energy Equation, $E_T = \sqrt{2M} \times (\Delta x)^2/t^2$, elucidates the physical basis connecting energy, the difference between the two spacetime fabrics, and time itself.

Ultimately, consciousness and life are not merely individual phenomena, but extensions of comprehensive cosmic mechanisms. The universe should be conceived as existing within us, not the other way around, because everything that occurs within the human mind and consciousness is reflected in the universe on a larger scale. This opens avenues for a deeper understanding of consciousness, life, and the potential for predicting future events and comprehending both cosmic and human renewal.

Future work should seek to test the model's key predictions empirically. In particular, the prediction that déjà vu occurs more frequently in individuals with a smaller difference between their two spacetime fabric values — corresponding to younger or physiologically more receptive individuals — is amenable to experimental investigation. Similarly, the relationship between increasing universal entropy and the intelligence of living organisms represents a further testable consequence of the model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research is a theoretical and conceptual study. No laboratory experiments, physical samples, or data collection were conducted. The methodology consists of mathematical derivation from established relativistic principles and the construction of an analogy-based conceptual framework.

The primary equation, $E_T = \sqrt{2M \times (\Delta x)^2 / t^2}$, was derived by generalising the relativistic energy-momentum equation through the substitution of the constant speed of light with a dynamic spacetime travel velocity ($V_{ZT} = \Delta x / t$), as justified in detail in prior work on the modification of relativity. Conceptual claims regarding consciousness, belief, will, déjà vu, and false memory were developed through analogical reasoning with established principles in quantum mechanics, thermodynamics, and cosmology, drawing on the literature cited in the References section.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- Albantakis, L., Marshall, W., Hoel, E., Tononi, G., and Koch, C. "Integrated Information Theory (IIT 4.0): Formulation and Validation." *PLOS Computational Biology* 18, no. 12 (2022): e1011465. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011465>
- Almheiri, A., Engelhardt, N., Marolf, D., and Maxfield, H. "The Entropy of Bulk Quantum Fields and the Entanglement Wedge of an Evaporating Black Hole." *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2019, no. 12 (2019): 063. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2019\)063](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)063)
- Bianchi, E., and Myers, R. C. "On the Architecture of Spacetime Geometry." *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 31, no. 21 (2012): 214002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/21/214002>
- Bohm, D. "A Suggested Interpretation of the Quantum Theory in Terms of Hidden Variables I." *Physical Review* 85, no. 2 (1952): 166–179. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.85.166>
- Boltzmann, L. *Lectures on Gas Theory*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1896. <https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520320291>
- Callender, C. "What Makes Time Special?" *Philosophy of Science* 84, no. 4 (2017): 573–595. <https://doi.org/10.1086/693934>

Carroll, S. M. "The Arrow of Time in Cosmology." *Nature Physics* 16 (2020): 23–29.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0742-0>

Dehaene, S., and Changeux, J.-P. "Experimental and Theoretical Approaches to Conscious Processing." *Neuron* 70, no. 2 (2011): 200–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.018>

Einstein, A. "Does the Inertia of a Body Depend Upon Its Energy Content?" *Annalen der Physik* 18 (1905): 639–641. <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053231314>

Einstein, A. "The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity." *Annalen der Physik* 49 (1916): 769–822. <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19163540702>

Faulkner, T., Lewkowycz, A., and Maldacena, J. "Quantum Corrections to Holographic Entanglement Entropy." *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2013, no. 11 (2013): 074.
[https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2013\)074](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2013)074)

Fried, I., Mukamel, R., and Kreiman, G. "Internally Generated Preactivation of Single Neurons Predicts Volition." *Neuron* 69, no. 3 (2011): 548–562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.045>

Friston, K. "The Free-Energy Principle: A Unified Brain Theory?" *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 11 (2010): 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>

Harlow, D. "The Ryu–Takayanagi Formula from Quantum Error Correction." *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 354 (2017): 865–912. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-016-2642-7>

Hawking, S. W., and Ellis, G. F. R. *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1973. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511524646>

Hayden, P., and Preskill, J. "Black Holes as Mirrors." *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2007, no. 9 (2007): 120. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/120>

Jacobson, T. "Thermodynamics of Spacetime: The Einstein Equation of State." *Physical Review Letters* 75, no. 7 (1995): 1260–1263. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1260>

Koch, C., Massimini, M., Boly, M., and Tononi, G. "Neural Correlates of Consciousness." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 17 (2016): 307–321. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.22>

Lebowitz, J. L. "Boltzmann Entropy and Time's Arrow." *Physics Today* 72, no. 9 (2019): 32–38.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.3.4300>

Libet, B., Gleason, C., Wright, E., and Pearl, D. "Time of Conscious Intention to Act." *Brain* 106, no. 3 (1983): 623–642. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/106.3.623>

Loftus, E. F. "Creating False Memories." *Scientific American* 277, no. 3 (1997): 70–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0997-70>

Maldacena, J. "The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity." *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 2 (1998): 231–252. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961>

Mashour, G. A., Roelfsema, P., Changeux, J.-P., and Dehaene, S. "Conscious Processing and the Global Neuronal Workspace Hypothesis." *Neuron* 105, no. 5 (2020): 776–798.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.026>

Page, D. N. "Information in Black Hole Radiation." *Physical Review Letters* 71, no. 23 (1993): 3743–3746.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3743>

Padmanabhan, T. "Thermodynamical Aspects of Gravity." *Reports on Progress in Physics* 73, no. 4 (2010): 046901. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/73/4/046901>

Penrose, R. "Singularities and Time-Asymmetry." In *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, 1979. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511563959.022>

Prigogine, I. *From Being to Becoming*. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman, 1980.

Ryu, S., and Takayanagi, T. "Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy." *Physical Review Letters* 96, no. 18 (2006): 181602. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602>

Schacter, D. L., and Addis, D. R. "The Cognitive Neuroscience of Constructive Memory." *Annual Review of Psychology* 58 (2007): 51–75. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085535>

Schacter, D. L. "Constructive Memory: Past and Future." *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* 20, no. 1 (2018): 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2018.20.1/dschacter>

Schrödinger, E. *What is Life?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1944.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139644129>

Schurger, A., Sitt, J. D., and Dehaene, S. "An Accumulator Model for Spontaneous Neural Activity." *PNAS* 109, no. 42 (2012): E2904–E2913. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210467109>

Soon, C. S., Brass, M., Heinze, H.-J., and Haynes, J.-D. "Unconscious Determinants of Free Decisions." *Nature Neuroscience* 11 (2008): 543–545. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2112>

Susskind, L. "ER = EPR and Quantum Measurements." *Fortschritte der Physik* 66, no. 3 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201700033>

Swingle, B. "Entanglement Renormalisation and Holography." *Physical Review D* 86, no. 6 (2012): 065007. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.065007>

Tononi, G., Boly, M., Massimini, M., and Koch, C. "Integrated Information Theory." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 17 (2016): 450–461. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.44>

Van Raamsdonk, M. "Building up Spacetime with Quantum Entanglement." *General Relativity and Gravitation* 42 (2010): 2323–2329. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-010-1034-0>

Verlinde, E. "On the Origin of Gravity and Newton's Laws." *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2011, no. 4 (2011): 029. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2011\)029](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)029)

Von Neumann, J. *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1932. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400889921>

Wheeler, J. A. "Information, Physics, Quantum." In *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*, edited by W. H. Zurek, 1990. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0849-4_7