Global Youth Science Journal

Article

The Pathological Loop: How Maladaptive Daydreaming Contributes to Emotional Anaesthesia in Adolescents

Author(s):

Khushi Meena (Student, CM Shri Kalkaji)

Abstract

Maladaptive daydreaming is a frequently misunderstood psychological state in which vivid, immersive fantasy begins to displace real-world functioning. While often dismissed as an overactive imagination, prolonged engagement in internal fantasy worlds may trigger a significant psychological consequence: emotional anaesthesia. This state is characterised by persistent numbness, detachment, and reduced affective responsiveness. This paper explores the conceptual relationship between maladaptive daydreaming and emotional anaesthesia within adolescents. By examining reward circuitry, dopaminergic stimulation, and avoidance-based coping strategies, it argues that excessive fantasy engagement may dysregulate emotional processing while diminishing sensitivity to real-world experiences. Over time, this can produce a self-perpetuating cycle of emotional withdrawal. This research seeks to reposition maladaptive daydreaming as a significant, though under-recognised, contributor to emotional numbness in modern youth.

Keywords: maladaptive daydreaming, emotional anaesthesia, adolescents, emotion regulation, dissociation, dopaminergic pathways

1. Introduction

Daydreaming is commonly regarded as a constructive cognitive process that supports creativity and identity formation. In moderation, it enhances cognitive flexibility and emotional regulation. However, there exists a threshold beyond which daydreaming transitions from adaptive to maladaptive. Maladaptive daydreaming refers to a compulsive retreat into elaborate fantasy worlds that individuals revisit habitually, often at the expense of real-life functioning and emotional engagement.[1]

In an era characterised by academic pressure, digital overstimulation, and social comparison, adolescents are particularly vulnerable to escapist coping strategies. Behaviour frequently interpreted as apathy or immaturity may instead reflect internal withdrawal into fantasy. This paper explores the mechanisms through which excessive reliance on immersive fantasy may contribute to emotional anaesthesia—a state of blunted affect and diminished responsiveness to real-world stimuli.

2. Conceptual Framework

Maladaptive daydreaming functions as an avoidance-based emotion regulation strategy. Individuals may construct controlled, idealised internal environments that provide validation, belonging, or mastery otherwise absent in their lived experience.[2] Over time, fantasy shifts from supplementary mental activity to primary emotional outlet.

Emotional anaesthesia emerges as a defensive adaptation. It is not the absence of feeling but a reduction in emotional intensity. The mind dampens affective responsiveness to protect against unresolved distress. When fantasy consistently replaces emotional processing, the individual gradually disengages from external emotional stimuli.

3. Psychological Mechanisms: Reward and Avoidance

The link between maladaptive daydreaming and emotional anaesthesia can be understood through the brain's reward circuitry. Immersive fantasies provide predictable and immediate dopaminergic stimulation, offering emotional reward without social risk or uncertainty.[3]

However, repeated high-intensity internal stimulation may recalibrate reward sensitivity. Real-world experiences—less controlled and more ambiguous—begin to feel comparatively muted. This creates a neurocognitive imbalance wherein external interactions seem underwhelming.

Simultaneously, avoidance prevents emotional processing. Emotions that are not consciously confronted do not dissipate; instead, they accumulate. To manage this internal overload, the psyche may reduce affective responsiveness overall, resulting in emotional flattening. Chronic dissociative tendencies, often described as "zoning out," further reinforce withdrawal from present experience.

4. Progression to Emotional Anaesthesia

The transition from maladaptive daydreaming to emotional anaesthesia is gradual. Initially, fantasy serves as refuge. Over time, as the brain prioritises internally generated stimulation over unpredictable real-world emotion, natural sensitivity diminishes.

Joy becomes subdued. Distress feels distant or abstract. Emotional experiences lose immediacy.

Paradoxically, this numbness may intensify daydreaming. As real life feels increasingly muted, individuals seek stronger fantasy immersion to recover emotional intensity, reinforcing a cyclical pattern of withdrawal and dependency.

5. Discussion

Because maladaptive daydreaming is internal and invisible, it is often romanticised as harmless creativity. Unlike substance-based behaviours, it leaves no obvious external markers. As a result, its psychological cost may go unrecognised.

Adolescence is a critical period for developing emotional resilience, empathy, and regulation skills. Excessive reliance on fantasy may interrupt this developmental process. Emotional anaesthesia does not indicate emotional absence, but rather emotional suppression in response to unresolved strain. Recognising this pattern reframes disengagement not as indifference, but as maladaptive coping.

6. Conclusion

Maladaptive daydreaming represents more than imaginative capacity; it may function as a compensatory coping mechanism that, when chronically relied upon, disrupts emotional integration. Emotional anaesthesia emerges not because emotions have vanished, but because affective systems have been attenuated in response to unresolved tension and overstimulation.

Addressing this issue in adolescents requires moving beyond the romanticisation of escapism and acknowledging the psychological cost of replacing lived experience with internal fantasy. Further empirical research is necessary to clarify the neurological and developmental implications of prolonged immersive daydreaming.

7. References

Somer, Eli. 2002. “Maladaptive Daydreaming: A Qualitative Inquiry.” *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*.

Gross, James J. 1998. “The Emerging Field of Emotion Regulation: An Integrative Review.” *Review of General Psychology*.

American Psychological Association. “Emotion, Stress, and Coping.”